



OFFICE OF
CHIEF COUNSEL

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

March 17, 2004

Number: **INFO 2004-0082**

Release Date: 6/30/04

CONEX-108584-04/CC:ITA:B02

UIL: 165.04-00

165.06-00

165.10-00

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]:

This letter responds to your inquiry dated February 18, 2004, on behalf of your constituent, [REDACTED], who asked for guidance on the proper tax treatment of losses incurred by investors in [REDACTED].

Unfortunately, the materials you enclosed did not provide us with a full understanding of the facts surrounding your inquiry. Therefore, the discussion below is based exclusively on information we received from your office.

Based on an unsigned and undated letter to [REDACTED], it appears that individual investors sustained losses on notes and debentures they purchased from [REDACTED].

According to an article titled, "Families may face [REDACTED] tax bill" that appeared in the [REDACTED] edition of [REDACTED], [REDACTED] shut down in early [REDACTED]. In that same article, [REDACTED] and its parent, [REDACTED], filed for bankruptcy in the [REDACTED] because they were unable to repay \$ [REDACTED] due to more than [REDACTED] investors. The letter to [REDACTED] referred to civil lawsuits for damages against the officers, directors, accountants, and lawyers. According to the article, interest accrued on investors' accounts from [REDACTED] through [REDACTED], the last day that [REDACTED] was open for business. The article raises the question of whether the investors must report this interest as income on their [REDACTED] tax returns.

Below is a description and analysis of the types of deductible losses under section 165 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) that investors may possibly have incurred on their purchase of notes and debentures from _____, and a brief discussion of the interest income issue.

The law allows a deduction for any loss not compensated by insurance or otherwise. Section 1.165-1(b) of the Income Tax Regulations explains that, to be allowable as a deduction under section 165(a) of the Code, a loss must be evidenced by closed and completed transactions, fixed by identifiable events, and actually sustained during the taxable year, except for a special rule relating to disaster losses that is not relevant here. In the case of individuals, a deduction under section 165(a) is limited to:

- losses incurred in a trade or business
- losses incurred in any transaction entered into for profit (though not connected with a trade or business)
- losses of property not connected with a trade or business or a transaction entered into for profit, if such losses arise from fire, storm, shipwreck, or other casualty, or from theft

Investors' Ability to Deduct their Losses as "Casualty Theft" Losses

Whether a loss constitutes a theft loss under section 165 is determined by examining the law of the state where the alleged theft occurred. *Edwards v. Bromberg*, 232 F.2d 107, 111 (5th Cir. 1956); *Viehweg v. Commissioner*, 90 T.C. 1248, 1253 (1988); Rev. Rul. 77-17, 1977-1 C.B. 44. To qualify as a theft loss under section 165(c), the taxpayer must prove that the "loss resulted from a taking of property that is illegal under the law of the state where it occurred and that the taking was done with criminal intent." Rev. Rul. 72-112, 1972-1 C.B. 60. An actual conviction for theft under state law is not required to obtain a theft loss deduction under section 165(e). *Paine v. Commissioner*, 63 T.C. 736, 740 (1975), *aff'd without published opinion*, 523 F.2d 1053 (5th Cir. 1975).

A loss arising from a theft is treated under section 165(a) as sustained during the taxable year in which the taxpayer discovers the loss. If, in the year the taxpayer discovers the theft loss, he or she makes a claim for reimbursement for which a reasonable prospect of recovery exists, the portion of the loss for which reimbursement may be received is not treated as sustained until the tax year in which it can be ascertained with reasonable certainty whether the taxpayer will receive such reimbursement. (See sections 1.165-1(d)(3), 1.165-8(a)(2) of the Treasury Regulations). Whether a reasonable prospect of recovery for a reimbursement claim exists is determined by examining all the facts and circumstances. See section 1.165-1(d)(2)(i).

For taxpayers who invested in _____ to deduct their alleged losses as theft losses, they must demonstrate that a theft has occurred under _____ law, and ascertain with reasonable certainty that they will not receive reimbursement of their losses from the bankruptcy proceedings, or any lawsuits that may be pending against the officers, directors, accountants, and lawyers of _____ or _____, or some other source.

Investors' Ability to Deduct their Losses as Worthless Securities Losses

If any security which is a capital asset becomes worthless during the taxable year, the taxpayer can deduct the loss as a capital loss from the sale or exchange, on the last day of the taxable year, of a capital asset. A security can include a bond, debenture, note, or certificate or other evidence of indebtedness, issued by a corporation, with interest coupons or in registered form. [Sections 165(g)(1) and (2) of the Code].

A taxpayer cannot take a worthless securities deduction unless he or she can show that the security is completely worthless in the year claimed. A taxpayer cannot claim a deduction for partial worthlessness. [Treasury Regulation section 1.165-5(d)]. The mere shrinkage in value of a security does not entitle a taxpayer to a worthless securities deduction if, on the date of the claimed loss, the security has any recognizable value. See *Favia v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 2002-154, citing Treas. Reg. section 1.165-4(a).

Whether a security is worthless depends on whether the corporation's stock has either liquidating or potential value. *Morton v. Commissioner*, 38 B.T.A. 1270, 1278, 1279 (1938), *aff'd*, 112 F.2d 320 (7th Cir. 1940). Liquidating value of a stock may be determined by examining the company's asset value, by comparing total assets and total liabilities. *Id.* at 1278. Potential value is determined by examining whether the facts and circumstances indicate reasonable hope and expectation that the company's foreseeable future operations will create liquidating value. *Id.* at 1278- 1279; *Austin v. Commissioner*, 71 T.C. 956, 970 (1979), *acq.*, 1979-2 C.B. 1.

A loss is evidenced by closed and completed transactions and fixed by identifiable events. [Treas. Reg. ' 1.165-1(b)]. Identifiable events that serve to negate or severely limit the existence of potential value include: liquidation of the corporation, cessation of business, bankruptcy, or the appointment of a receiver to take over the company's assets and business. *Steadman v. Commissioner*, 50 T.C. 369, 377, *aff'd*, 424 F.2d 1 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 400 U.S. 869 (1970). However, none of these events, alone, is determinative. We must examine all of the facts and circumstances of each taxpayer's case before deciding whether the security is completely worthless at the end of the taxable year. *Boehm v. Commissioner*, 326 U.S. 287, 293 (1945), *reh-g. den.*, 326 U.S.

811 (1946). As noted above, no loss is allowed if a claim for reimbursement exists at the time of the loss for which a reasonable prospect of recovery exists. [Treas. Reg. section 1.165-1(d)(2)(i)].

Based on the facts provided, the notes and debentures that _____ sold may fall within the definition of security under section 165(g)(2). It is not clear from the facts provided whether _____ issued the notes and debentures with interest coupons or in registered form. (Section 165(g)(2)(C) of the Code).

Whether a loss due to worthlessness is actually sustained during the taxable year is a highly factual determination. The ceasing of business operations and the beginning of bankruptcy proceedings by _____ are factors we would consider in determining whether the notes and debentures became completely worthless in tax year _____. However, the following factors may prevent such a determination: any ongoing bankruptcy proceedings and/or any pending lawsuits against the officers, directors, accountants, and attorneys of _____ or _____, both of which could result in distributions to the investors for all or part of their investments.

Investors' Ability to Deduct Losses in Insolvent Financial Institutions

Certain taxpayers, who experience a loss on their deposits in a qualified financial institution due to its bankruptcy or insolvency, can elect to treat the loss as a casualty loss incurred during the taxable year. Or, subject to certain limitations, they can treat them as an ordinary loss incurred in a transaction entered into for profit. [Sections 165(c)(2) and (3) and 165(l) of the Code]. A qualified financial institution includes any bank (as defined in section 581 of the Code), any institution described in section 591 of the Code, any credit union where deposits or accounts are insured under federal or state law or are protected or guaranteed under state law, or any similar institution chartered and supervised under federal or state law. (Section 165(l)(3) of the Code).

We cannot determine whether the investors may use this provision, as we do not have sufficient information to ascertain whether _____ is a qualified financial institution within the meaning of section 165(l)(3) of the Code.

Investors' Interest Income May be Taxable in

Interest income is included within the meaning of gross income. Generally, income is taxable to a cash method taxpayer in the year in which he or she actually or constructively receives it. [Treas. Reg. § 1.451-1(a)]. Income is constructively received in the taxable year in which it is credited to the taxpayer's account, set apart for the taxpayer, made available so that the taxpayer may draw upon it at any time or could have drawn upon it during the taxable year if notice of intention to withdraw had been given. [Treas. Reg. section 1.451-2(a)].

However, interest credited on a frozen deposit in a qualified financial institution during the calendar year is included in gross income only to the extent of the net amount the taxpayer withdraws during the calendar year and the amount of the deposit which is available for withdrawal as of the close of the taxable year. (Section 451(g)(1) of the Code). A frozen deposit is defined as a deposit that cannot be withdrawn due to the bankruptcy or insolvency of a qualified financial institution. (Section 451(g)(4) of the Code).

If [REDACTED] did credit the interest that accrued from [REDACTED] through [REDACTED] to its investors' accounts, the investors would appear to be in constructive receipt of the income and would thus be taxed on the interest in tax year 2003. We do not have sufficient facts to determine whether the taxpayers' deposits qualify as frozen deposits within section 451(g) of the Code.

I hope this information is helpful. If you have further questions, please call me or [REDACTED] at [REDACTED].

Sincerely,

ROBERT M. BROWN
Associate Chief Counsel
(Income Tax and Accounting)